Shodhpith
International Multidisciplinary Research Journal
(International Open Access, Peer-reviewed & Refereed Journal)
(Multidisciplinary, Bimonthly, Multilanguage)
Volume: 2 Issue: 3 May-June 2026

Role of Civil Services in Policy Implementation in India

Nidhi Sonkar

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Kanya Mahavidyalaya Arya Samaj Bhoor, Bareilly, Mahatama Jyotibha Phule Rohilkhand University

Article Info: *(Recieved- 10/03/2026, Accept- 10/4/2026, Published- 10/05/2026)*

DOI- 10.64127/Shodhpith.2026v2i3003

Abstract-

This study examines the crucial role of civil services in policy implementation in India, highlighting their position as the backbone of administrative governance. Civil servants act as a bridge between policy formulation and execution by translating legislative decisions into practical actions. The paper explores their involvement across different stages of the policy cycle, including planning, coordination, resource management, monitoring, and evaluation. It emphasizes how civil servants ensure effective delivery of public services by mobilizing financial, human, and institutional resources while maintaining accountability and ethical standards. Identifies major challenges affecting policy implementation in India, such as bureaucratic red tape, weak coordination across multiple levels of government, capacity and training gaps, and political interference. These issues often result in delays, inefficiencies, and gaps between policy intent and outcomes. Furthermore, the paper discusses reforms and best practices, including digital governance, decentralization, performance-based budgeting, and increased transparency, which can enhance administrative efficiency and citizen participation. Strengthening civil service capacity, ensuring autonomy, and promoting accountability are essential for effective policy implementation. A responsive and efficient civil service system is key to achieving inclusive development and improving governance outcomes in India.

Keywords: Civil Services, Policy Implementation, Governance, Bureaucracy, Public Administration, Accountability, Digital Governance, Decentralization, Resource Management, India.

1. Introduction

Civil Services in India play a key role in policy implementation for various reasons. First, they translate political decisions and legislative laws into administrative actions. Second, because they are engaged in policy design and formulation, they have an overall understanding of the policies that they implement. Third, during the formulation of development plans, funds and manpower are also decided, and hence they manage to implement it. Federal models of government exist in a variety of countries.

In India, the centre and the states have their own respective powers. Policies made at the central level, which are applicable at the state level, pass through various levels of implementation. At the state level, district administration takes up a major part in implementing these central policies. Similarly, central policies and funds are also available for the district retail. Hence, the role of civil services at both the central and state levels has a significant influence on development goals (B. Peter & Deewua Philip, 2013). An understanding of development policies and the ability to evaluate their implementation from the beneficiaries' perspective can also help in improving the work.

2. What are civil services

Civil servants in India comprise three All India Services (the Indian Administrative Service, the Indian Police Service, and the Indian Forest Service), six Central Services (the Indian Foreign Service, the Indian Audit and Accounts Service, and others), and more than thirty State Services (as varied as the State Traffic Service of Gujarat, the West Bengal General Service, and the Subordinate Forest Service of Kerala). Though state governments enjoy a considerable degree of autonomy, civil servants in all states and union territories are ultimately recruited by the Union Public Service Commission of India on behalf of the Union Government. Central and State Civil Services, whether All India, Central, State, or Subordinate, enjoy equal ranking, meaning that All India Services have the same status as other Central Services, and similarly, State Services have the same status as State Subordinate Services (Levine Daniel & Fyall, 2019).

Civil servants contribute to the policy cycle, which covers agenda-setting, formulation, enactment, implementation, and evaluation. Fundamental ideas articulated in a policy proposal need translation into specific actions to be effective; civil servants help achieve this translation. Civil services in Parliament conduct outreach to inform legislators of the practical consequences of the options being considered in proposed laws. Once policies become law, civil servants remain actively engaged in facilitating legislative compliance.

3. Policy formulation vs policy implementation

Formulation and implementation represent two distinct functions in the policy cycle. Formulation encompasses all steps from identifying an idea until its adoption, whether in the form of a white paper, cabinet note, policy statement, or Act. Formulation entails conceptualization, specification, analysis of the problem and its context, evaluation of policy alternatives, and a final choice (V Raju & Ravindra, 2016).

Implementation comprises the work undertaken between policy adoption and concrete action. While civil services are primarily responsible for the implementation phase, the early stages of the policy cycle are also crucial to the work of civil servants, especially in preparing for the handoff between different policy realms. Effective action depends on a clear understanding of the intended objectives to inform implementation plans. Civil servants are active throughout the cycle, but roles differ at various stages.

A fundamental gap between formulation and implementation remains. Questions of when and how bureaucrats participate in the cycle arise at every stage. Formal documents are essential on occasions, but an intellectual connection, with careful attention to the final details, is often more important than circulating papers at every switch or coordination point.

4. Key roles of civil servants in implementation

Civil servants translate policy ideas into action. They coordinate activities across different organizations and levels of government to make programs work. They mobilize financial, human, and physical resources to implement policies. They monitor once policy actions start, evaluate processes and outcomes, help the program adjust to changing conditions, and communicate results back to policy-makers. Finally, civil servants observe professional ethics, serve the public interest with accountability, minimize abuse of power, and promote the transparent use of public resources to maintain trust in government.

Policy implementation is the stage of the policy cycle at which civil services play their most significant role. During policy formulation, civil servants provide inputs on what is feasible or helpful ((Supiani) Supiani, 2014). At this stage, idea generation, idea selection, and drafting the proposal remain the primary responsibility of political offices and the public. Their next step remains unclear, so civil services have no official mechanism to intervene or implement measures that fall outside the scope of accepted parameters or not backed by resources.

4.1. Policy translation to action

Once policies are formulated, civil servants translate their objectives into practical action. Policies are often vague regarding their operational aspects. The role of civil servants is, therefore, to view policies critically, chart implementation plans that operationalise them, and proceed with implementation through first-stage actions. These plans and actions must not only be at variance with policy objectives but be also realistic in their organisational premise. Operationalization involves prescriptively listing activities leading to the achievement of policy objectives, drawing an elaborate implementation time-schedule, creating a suitable organisation-structure for implementation, promoting inter-agency coordination, defining the project-area, estimating project-resource requirements, assuring fund-provision, and, finally, communicating the blueprint to the implementing agency for execution.

The implementation plans must also recognise foreseeable hazards and formulate a Democratic Constitution of India. Part 14- Civil Services. Civil Services Legislation and the All India Services Act of 1951 provide the backbone of all India and state level administration. The Constitution envisages a uniformed Central Civil Service as well as a uniformed State Civil Service in each State. The officers for the Central Civil Service are recruited by the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC). The officers for the State Civil Services will be recruited by the State Public Service Commission, except in the Union Territories, the National Capital Territory of Delhi, and the State of Jammu & Kashmir. The UPSC will make recommendations to the President of India for appointment as Administrators of Union Territories by Direct Recruitment. The Constitution further provides for the creation of a Police Force for the Union Territories.

4.2. Coordination and communication

Policymaking in a parliamentary democracy like India entails several stages. The first stage is purely suggestive in nature and consists of ideas that are not necessarily formal policy proposals. The policy formulated in the first stage is then proposed to the legislative assembly of the concerned ministry or department. As long as the policy remains under consideration in the legislature, it is still a suggestive proposal. It is only when it gets legislative approval—after discussion in different departments, between different ministries, and in the cabinet—that it becomes a formal policy. After approval, the policy is sent to the implementing agency for execution. At each of these stages of policymaking, administrative involvement is different. Policy-formulating agencies analyse the pros and cons of a proposal and give their observations. The Prime Minister and Minister for Finance give legislative approval to policies with economic implications. The action of the president or the governor turns the policy into law. The substantive policy is also given legislative form by way of budget approval. Once a policy is approved, the task is to put that policy into practice and make it effective. This is the stage of policy implementation. For successful implementation, it is important that the policy is understood in its true spirit by those assigned the implementation role.

The implementing agency has to identify the various sub-steps that must be completed in order to translate the policy into practice. The required human, financial, physical, and information resources must be secured and the responsibilities for each sub-step assigned to personnel. Effective implementation requires that these stages and sub-stages are identified, sequenced, and scheduled; the required resources and funds obtained; and the actions completed in line with the prescribed schedule. Bureaucrats at different levels are handling the same policy on behalf of different departments. Coordination among all these functionaries is essential at all levels. Special attention should be paid to the vertical coordination between the centre, states, and local bodies and also among districts in different states for smooth implementation.

4.3. Resource management

Civil servants establish a system for using human and material resources effectively and efficiently for policy implementation. The concept of 'resource management' encompasses budgeting, grants, procurement, staffing, training, and human resource management.

Civil servants monitor policy priorities and budgets. The process takes place at both the policy-making and policy-implementation departments. Policy-making departments rely on finance departments for setting up various schemes, which provide a crucial policy clarification and direction in resource allocation. This supports executive action at different levels, facilitates interdepartmental coordination, and enables higher-level political discussion. Periodic monitoring also provides insights into required adjustments. (Mandal & Govinda Rao, 1970)

4.4. Monitoring and evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation play crucial roles in helping civil servants ensure that policies are implemented effectively. The monitoring cycle establishes links between implementation actions and intended policy results. By collecting and analysing data regularly throughout the implementation process, civil servants can identify delays, unintended effects, and shortcomings in execution. They then take corrective measures, submit requests for adjustments, or escalate the issues to higher authorities with sufficient authority to modify the policy itself, such as the legislature.

Evaluation takes on even greater importance, as the design phase often commands more attention than implementation. An evaluation cycle assesses government results against stated objectives. Evaluation enables identification of barriers, bottlenecks, and missed opportunities. Throughout the entire process, best practices as well as failure and success factors remain invaluable sources of information for future endeavours. Sharing evaluation results to the public fosters transparency, bolsters the credibility of policies and their implementers, and enables a broader range of stakeholders to draw lessons from various policy initiatives.

A wide range of actors also informs civil servants' evaluations. Citizens evaluate policies through their own day-to-day experiences, forming direct opinions based on the quality of policies. Civil society organizations play a similar role, either through systematic monitoring or by submitting spontaneous feedback. Additional sources of information include university researchers who conduct academic studies, think tanks that issue policy briefs, and consulting firms that propose analytical recommendations, reports, and reviews. All of these perspectives ultimately contribute to a better understanding of what works and what does not, in addition to why and how. (Tshefu, 2017)

4.5. Accountability and ethics

Civil servants play a critical role in upholding ethical and accountability standards in the government. They are expected to act professionally and ensure transparency in their work in order to maintain public confidence (Matei & Popa, 2010). They are subject to the provisions of the Constitution and must adhere to the terms of the Constitution (and laws) as part of their work. Civil servants must also work within an ethical framework appropriate to their profession. Civil servants are bound to safeguard the prestige of public institutions and avoid any action that may compromise the image of public institutions or the interests of the state. Impartiality, integrity, respect for the dignity of the public office they hold, and avoidance of any conflict of interest or undue personal influence all underpin their work. Civil servants have a duty to refrain from making false public statements, disclosing non-public information without express legal authorisation, and providing legal assistance conflicting with the interest of the state or public institutions, unless otherwise permitted by law. The prior approval of the competent authority is also required for any disclosure of non-public information. The setting of relations with the media is defined by the authority's head through the delegation of certain civil servants to this task.

5. Challenges in India

Red tape, delays, and inaction plague yet another Indian government policy implementation. Bureaucratic delays cloud decision making and slow project execution (Sherraden, 2001). Coordination across levels of government hampers performance in federal systems, complicating intergovernmental and inter-agency relationships. Primary responsibilities for implementing national or central policies originally rest on the state or provincial governments. Capacity and training gaps in civil service hamper the execution of important policies. Various courses do not equip officers to shoulder the responsibilities needed for executing these function. Political influence interferes with civil service autonomy. Public services run aground from an inability to sustain projects against political headwinds.

5.1. Bureaucratic red tape

The Government of India takes pride in having one of the largest civil services in the world, which form an enduring instrument for implementing developmental policies. Between 1947 and 1990, India witnessed a rapid growth of bureaucracy not seen in the annals of history. New policies were evolved after every five years. However, the cumulative result of six successive five-year plans was less than satisfactory. A number of Indian scholars attributed this phenomenon to bureaucratic indiscipline and red-tapism (Dasgupta & Kapur, 2021). Bureaucratic growth was, therefore, postulated as contrary to the desire to strengthen democracy and facilitate development.

The introduction of a new economic policy in 1991 demanded another change in the bureaucratic attitude. The promulgations of the Economic Reforms of 1991 were accompanied by a fresh surge of bureaucratic growth, imbued with a better state of discipline. This was resultant of the structural adjustment programme of the International Monetary Fund (IMF), seeking first to stabilise the economy and only then effect economic reforms.

5.2. Coordination across levels of government

The bureaucracy in any nation is commonly considered a big organization with a vast number of employees. As it operates at three levels central, state, and local smooth communication and coordination become vital for successful policy implementation. Policy formulation is done at the apex level, and the implementation happens at various levels. The centralized bureaucracy and decentralized political system in India expose the two important levels of government—the state and local governments—to widespread conflict, and such conflicts manifest as a breakdown in coordination between the centre and the states. A recent all-India committee on the relationship between the centre and states has underscored that mutual respect and understanding of the responsibilities and challenges to each other can contribute to effective policy making. Coordination becomes necessary among the states as well as between them and the centre, especially because the states lack an adequate advisory apparatus to help them analyse and examine complex social problems. The diminishing unity of outlook among the states can weaken their ability to respond collectively to the increasing demands and difficulties shouldered by them.

On their part, the states are also finding it difficult to perform their responsibilities and roles effectively. They require the support and guidance of the center. Successful execution of even a single-step policymaking process requires voluntary collaboration. The Government of India Act, 1919, provided for the establishment of a public service commission at the center and at each of the provinces. Compensation at the level of key employees is entirely determined by the centre, since it provides grand subsidies and financial assistance to the states.

5.3. Capacity and training gaps

Capacity development aims to improve institutions and individuals to perform better (Miruts, 2014). India has undertaken several initiatives to enhance the capacity of civil servants, including training, knowledge sharing, and upgrading of skills. However, documentary analyses of policy documents indicate that the capacity development efforts do not sufficiently equip civil servants to put policies into practice. As a result, the civil service remains ill-equipped to see policies implemented and assessed. Capacity building consists of improving an organization's ability to fulfil its mission. The realization of public-service delivery policies entails the upgrading of both the ability to implement policies and the systems used to ensure that they can be monitored.

5.4. Political influence and autonomy

Political influence can hinder policy implementation, as elected representatives may exert pressure on civil servants to prioritise potential political gains over policy outcomes. This may result in political attempts to undermine or marginalise the policies of rival parties while supporting favoured plans with insufficient attention to capacity or other implementation requirements. Civil service should be able to withstand political pressure, offering honest analysis and advice without compromising the recommendations preceding implementation.

Civil services provide the backbone for democratic governance and policy implementation. Strengthening and sustaining the quality of civil service institutions constitutes a major national priority. The key to successful implementation lies not in the mere presence of civil institutions at work but in the spirit of performance, duty, commitment, ability, accountability, transparency and a sense of mission with which such institutions respond to a thrust-felt and felt-thrust proclivity of the people's government.

6. Reforms and best practices

Digital tools can increase efficiency for policy-makers and strengthen citizen participation. The Unified Payments Interface uses a digital platform to transfer welfare benefits directly to beneficiaries without delays or loss. Citizens express grievances directly to a minister through a 24/7 teleconference. In 2014, the Ministry of Home Affairs introduced an online Police Clearance Certificate system, which improved the processing time for citizens and cut the backlog from two lakh to a few thousand applications. Learning Management Systems introduced in various departments provide government employees with timely online courses on emerging topics.

Decentralizing government helps to tailor policies to local needs. Community-driven initiatives and participatory budgeting allow citizens to set priorities for the allocation of funds, which promotes a sense of ownership. Decentralization complements national policies by making implementation more effective and ensuring that such policies remain flexible and respond to local priorities. The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act and Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan encouraged state and local governments to explore policy innovations to enhance scheme implementation (Chakraborty, 2014). Local governments were better able to devise labour-intensive work schedules for job creation, and were permitted to deploy multilingual training material to improve school enrolments and retention. Performance-based budget systems can link the budgetary process to tangible goals, clarify policy implementation responsibilities, measure results, and bring a clearer focus on the effectiveness of government programmes. Transparency in the public government process raises accountability and citizen engagement. Open Budget portals allow citizens to access budget documents at any time and ask questions through multiple communication channels (Jeet Singh, 2008).

6.1. Digital governance

The Government of India approved the National e-Governance Plan (NeGP) in 2006 to establish a clear policy framework for e-governance initiatives. The e-Governance initiatives are principally taken up by the State Governments and are mostly part of the Information Technology Policies of State Governments till 2006. Most initiatives mainly involved digitisation and automation of departmental processes e.g., digitization of land records improved efficiency and reduced corruption. Some initiatives have also set up single-window service delivery and online grievance redressal systems to improve the interaction between the Government and the citizen. Implementing a digital Management Information System (MIS) also helps in faster decision making and monitoring of governance processes. The e-Governance initiatives have been helpful to the citizens in general and have improved the workings of the Administrative Civil Services as well. Despite some progress achieved through these initiatives, there has been lot of resistance from the vested interests. The policies framed have mainly supported the establishment of basic digital infrastructure, which has been used by a few champions in the departments to further the digitisation initiatives of the Departments (Jeet Singh, 2008).

6.2. Decentralization and local governance

Following India's independence, there were numerous efforts to decentralize power in order to harness local capacity, build a democratic polity, and foster plans suited to local conditions. Numerous rural development programs have aspired to implement policies based on the gram panchayat system, which embodies the spirit of local self-governance encoded in Article 40 of the Constitution. The 73rd and 74th Amendment Acts of 1992 endorsed this principle through constitutional mandates and rigorous guidelines. The Eighth Five-Year Plan reaffirmed that decentralization and enhancement of local self-government constituted the most important national priority. Even so, these efforts appear to have had limited impact (Kumar Datta, 2019). India is a federal country with centralized political power, a weak local government system, and bureaucrats holding the real power (Singh, 2008). Devolution of power necessarily involves the devolution of functions and the staff needed to perform them. Under the Constitution, the State Legislature retains powers over staffing, appointments, supersession, transfer, and suspension, which hinders the autonomy of local self-governments. Nationally sponsored schemes have undermined the spirit of decentralized governance by limiting autonomous planning and mutual fiscal transfers. The proliferation of parallel bodies further erodes the jurisdiction of panchayats and hampers activities linked to user participation, convergence, and efficiency. The constitutional recognition of urban and rural local self-governments has expanded their direct responsibilities and enabled the executive branch and other local institutions to pursue their own development strategies, diversifying local government. Even so, the Central and State Governments retain remains ultimate control over funds and the execution of policy (Nabaho, 2012).

6.3. Performance budgeting

Performance budgeting is an important financial management reform strategy that links expenditure to results, encouraging more efficient and effective resource allocation (Sukmono, 2017). In India, it was adopted after Independence to explore alternative approaches to improving the quality of public administration in the country, a strategy that has continued since for both policy and implementation. The introduction of the Indian Public Sector System, the Indian Accounts System, and the Finance Commission has played a very crucial role in the economic development that has occurred after-Independence. The main objective of performance budgeting, a strategy that connects expenditure to performance, has been to allocate resources more effectively and efficiently based on performance indicators whenever possible. At the same time, a performance planning document is prepared for each sector, specifying the level of performance expected in terms of activities and endorsed by departement heads. Even so, considerable attention has been paid to the aspect of providing alternative methods to enhance the quality of public administration overall.

6.4. Transparency and citizen engagement

Widespread corruption and inefficiency in public administration violations of civil rights and liberties and misappropriation of public funds are some of the pressing concerns that state and local governments in Nigeria face. People are aware that a lack of effective public service delivery affects the sustainability of democracy (M. DYAJI et al., 2019). Transparency is one way out of the vicious storm of corruption, inefficiency, and poor service delivery that plagues local authorities. Consequently, transparency in administration gives people the right to access information on government activities. When government activities are visible, it assists in ensuring good governance, eases administrative processes, and reduces the burden on the government itself. Transparency aims to sustain an honest government while simultaneously promoting accountability and anti-corruption efforts.

A significant proportion of corruption occurs as a result of, and is sustained by, a lack of transparency in administration, making accountability extremely difficult. Corruption is generally defined as the performance of an official act to the detriment of the interests of the public, the government, or an institution for pecuniary or other personal advantage. It involves committing illegal acts such as abuse of office, bribery, drug trafficking, fraud, forgery, monumental theft, money laundering, extortion, illegal logging, and processing, among others. Society is generally aware of corrupt acts perpetrated by public officials; hence, even public officials know that their corrupt acts are wrong and against the rules governing the conduct of public service. The widely held perception is that bribe-paying is a commonly accepted practice.

7. Case studies

Policy implementation spans three distinct stages: initiation, execution, and completion. Policy ideas advance from government actors, bureaucrats, the parliamentary system, political parties, and civil society to civil servants who examine them for feasibility. Those deemed worthy are conveyed to decision-makers at various governmental levels. Once policies are approved, they enter the execution phase, where administrative units oversee on-ground implementation and final completion. Typical completion aspects include reaching the targeted community, fund allocation, and supervisory support.

Policy formulation differs from policy implementation, which manifests in action or direct output of the policy—the on-ground service, delivery of goods or the actual attainment of services (Mandal & Govinda Rao, 1970). Handoff points in the Indian administrative system are clearly demarcated; a gap exists between the two stages. Even though several policy ideas are translated into action, the anticipated impacts are seldom achieved on the ground. Execution and the requirement to match deliberation with action, therefore, could be made clearer in India.

7.1. Public service delivery programs

In the last few decades, India has witnessed the introduction of various public service delivery programs by different departments at the Central and State levels. These include the Public Distribution System, the Integrated Child Development Scheme, the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme, and the Right to Work. Some of these programs were started as pilot projects. The establishment of the Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) is also a part of the structured approach to delivery of public services and goods to the public (Singh, 2008).

There have been concerns about the efficient delivery of services and goods to the public. Studies indicate the presence of inefficiency in the delivery of public services in India, even in core government services as well as in the programs and projects undertaken by various Ministries and Departments of the Union and State Governments and even in the PSUs. Moreover, public service delivery is marred by high levels of "retail corruption" in sectors such as health care, electric power, police, judiciary, taxation, land administration, and education. The poor quality of delivery of public services, including health care, education, social insurance, and infrastructure, can be attributed to systemic institutional problems.

7.2. Welfare schemes execution

The province of Kerala in southern India is a suitable case study of an Indian state government executing more broadly targeted welfare schemes aimed at alleviating poverty. In the last few decades, several welfare schemes have been created with a focus on the poorer communities. The Government of Kerala has utilized these schemes as instruments to accelerate the state's development process, and the state departments are instructed to ensure adequate allocation of project funds among the target groups. The gap between the number of families living below the poverty line and the coverage of the schemes gives the impression that the state is totally dependent on these schemes to alleviate poverty in the state. The actual number of persons who derive benefits from these schemes does not seem to have any correlation with the poverty ratio in Kerala. Yet, the poverty ratio in Kerala shows a downward trend. This raises the question: do these welfare schemes actually help alleviate poverty in Kerala?

Evidence from field surveys indicates that the benefits accrued to individual households from these schemes are very small in relation to their actual cost. The execution of the schemes has thus far excluded a substantial number of the poorest families and has nevertheless skewed development in favor of the “upper” poor. Moreover, continued emigration of the poorer classes poses a serious threat to development through increased dependence on remittances. Despite these drawbacks, one cannot negate the role of the welfare schemes. They can be considered a stopgap arrangement to reach the poorer sections of society, and the benefits provided have increased purchasing power and improved living conditions for the targeted sections.

8. Conclusion

In India, civil services comprise a blend of both constitutional and non-constitutional institutions. Explicit fiscal policies are necessary to ensure economic stability, and these policies are implemented through the help of civil services. The significance of public policy and civil services in India’s socio-economic development is difficult to overstate. Policy initiatives like the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Right to Information (RtI), rural health mission, and state agricultural policies have all played key roles in alleviating poverty, empowering women and disadvantaged groups, eliminating child labour, developing human resources, and creating employment opportunities. Essential administrative reforms in fiscal areas and institutions also support the successful implementation of policies and schemes to boost growth and social equity (Sherraden, 2001).

Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

References:

1. B. Peter, M. & Deewua Philip, D. (2013). A Critical Examination of Recruitment Strategy in India and Nigeria.
2. Levine Daniel, J. & Fyall, R. (2019). The Intersection of Nonprofit Roles and Public Policy Implementation.
3. V Raju, K. & Ravindra, A. (2016). Promoting Policy Formulation at the State Level in India.
4. (Supiani) Supiani, S. (2014). Implementasi Kebijakan Pengangkatan Sekretaris Desa Menjadi Pegawai Negeri Sipil Di Kecamatan Dampal Selatan Kabupaten Tolitoli.
5. Mandal, S. & Govinda Rao, M. (1970). Overlapping fiscal domains and effectiveness of environmental policy in India.
6. Tshefu, S. (2017). Assessing monitoring and evaluation as the control measure to enhance organisational performance

with the reference to the Eastern Cape provincial treasury.
7. Matei, A. & Popa, F. (2010). Ethical Aspects in the Activity of Civil Servants. Case Study Romania.
8. Sherraden, M. (2001). Civic Service: Issues, Outlook, Institution Building.
9. Dasgupta, A. & Kapur, D. (2021). The Political Economy of Bureaucratic Overload: Evidence from Rural Development Officials in India. osf.io
10. Miruts, G. (2014). Building Civil Service Capacity in Ethiopia: The Case of Tigray Regional State.
11. Chakraborty, S. (2014). Designing an Anti-Corruption Strategy for Contemporary Indian Administration.
12. Jeet Singh, P. (2008). Recommendations for meaningful and successful e-governance in India.
13. Kumar Datta, P. (2019). RURAL DECENTRALIZATION IN INDIA AT THE CROSS- ROADS: THE CONTEXT, CHALLENGES AND CONSEQUENCES.
14. Singh, N. (2008). Decentralization and Public Delivery of Health Care Services in India.
15. Nabaho, L. (2012). Driving up Standards: Civil Service Management and Decentralization: Case Study of Uganda.
16. Sukmono, R. (2017). ANALISIS DAMPAK IMPLEMENTASI INDIKATOR KINERJA UTAMA TERHADAP SERAPAN ANGGARAN BPPK.
17. M. DYAJI, G., O. Oni, S., Ibietan, J., & Joshua, S. (2019). E-government and the Quest for Transparent Public Service in Nigeria.

Cite this Article-

"Nidhi Sonkar", " Role of Civil Services in Policy Implementation in India" Shodhpith International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, ISSN: 3049-3331 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:03, May-June 2026