



## **Abdullah Khan: The Banker-Novelist of Bihar and His Realist Portrayal of Ordinary Lives**

**Dr. Priya Kumari**

*Assistant Professor, School of Arts Humanities & Social Science, Dhamma Dipa International Buddhist University, Tripura, India*

### **Abstract:**

Abdullah Khan, an Indian novelist and scriptwriter, has emerged as a distinctive voice in contemporary Indian English literature through his nuanced portrayals of small-town life, middle-class precarity, and the tensions between aspiration and social boundaries. Born in Pandari, near Motihari in Bihar, and trained as a chemist before pursuing a professional career in banking, Khan represents a dual identity that informs his fiction: the precision and patience of a banker and the empathy and imagination of a storyteller. His debut novel *Patna Blues* (2018) is widely regarded as a landmark in its portrayal of Arif Khan, a young Muslim aspirant to the civil services whose struggles reflect broader questions of class, communal identity, family duty, and forbidden love. Translated into multiple Indian languages and Arabic, the novel's reception testifies to its resonance across cultural and national boundaries. His second work, *A Man from Motihari* (2023), expands his thematic scope by weaving the personal journey of a bank clerk aspiring to be a writer with echoes of George Orwell and postcolonial questions of destiny, migration, and artistic identity. With *Patna Redux* slated for release in 2025, Khan continues to consolidate his position as a writer of perseverance and literary clarity. His work resists melodrama, focusing instead on the quiet struggles of ordinary lives, the fragile balances between duty and desire, and the dignity of resilience in the face of systemic odds. This paper examines Abdullah Khan's literary contribution as an author whose art demonstrates how patience, persistence, and deeply humane observation transform everyday experiences into narratives of enduring significance.

**Keywords:** Abdullah Khan, *Patna Blues*, *A Man from Motihari*, Indian English Literature

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Abdullah Khan is a contemporary Indian author and scriptwriter whose fiction is deeply rooted in the social, cultural, and economic landscapes of Bihar. Best known for his debut novel *Patna Blues* (2018), Khan has emerged as a distinctive voice in modern Indian literature, bringing to the page the aspirations, dilemmas, and quiet resilience of lower-middle-class life. Born in Pandari, a village near Motihari, Khan grew up in an environment shaped by rural rhythms and small-town aspirations, experiences that later found artistic expression in his narratives. Educated in science, with an M.Sc. in Chemistry from Anugrah Narayan College, Patna, he also built a parallel career in banking, beginning

as a probationary officer in Bank of Baroda and later working with Axis Bank and IndusInd Bank. This dual identity—as banker and writer—lends his fiction an unusual clarity, weaving together the methodical patience of his professional training with the empathetic attentiveness of a storyteller. Khan's literary journey began in the early 1990s, when an encounter with George Orwell's *Animal Farm* inspired him to pursue writing. His early forays included freelance contributions to *The Hindustan Times*, but the novel form soon became his primary medium. After nearly two decades of drafting and redrafting, *Patna Blues* was published in 2018, to both critical acclaim and wide readership. Translated into multiple Indian languages and Arabic, and with forthcoming translations in Persian, Uzbek, and Sindhi, the book established Khan as a writer of uncommon persistence and literary sensitivity. Its protagonist, Arif Khan, embodies the struggles of countless young Indians navigating the demands of competitive examinations, the weight of family duty, and the constraints of class and communal identity, while also yearning for love that defies societal boundaries. Khan's second novel, *A Man from Motihari* (2023), situates his art within a wider historical and postcolonial frame, tracing the journey of Aslam Sher Khan, a bank clerk born in the same bungalow as George Orwell, whose ambitions carry him from Bihar to the United States. This work extends Khan's engagement with themes of aspiration, migration, and identity while weaving together the personal and political with quiet nuance. Currently, he is working on *Patna Redux*, a sequel to *Patna Blues*, expected in 2025. What unites Abdullah Khan's work is his commitment to portraying the ordinary lives of Indians with dignity, tenderness, and moral complexity. His novels map the space between desire and duty, hope and precarity, ambition and circumstance, while positioning Patna and Motihari not merely as backdrops but as living, breathing characters that shape the destinies of their inhabitants. In doing so, Khan contributes to a strand of Indian English writing that resists spectacle and foregrounds the quiet drama of everyday existence, affirming the literary worth of patience, resilience, and humane observation.

## 1.1 Early life and education

Abdullah Khan is an Indian author and scriptwriter whose literary identity is closely associated with his acclaimed debut novel, *Patna Blues*. Emerging from the agrarian quiet of Pandari, a village near Motihari in Bihar, Khan carries the textures of small-town life into his prose: the rhythms of local speech, the ebb and flow of aspiration, and the intimate negotiations of family and class. He pursued science with equal seriousness, earning an M.Sc. in Chemistry from Anugrah Narayan College, Patna, a training that honed his methodical thinking and patience qualities that later steadied his craft at the writing desk. In 1998, he began a professional journey in banking as a probationary officer at Bank of Baroda. The discipline of ledger books and the steady accountability of branch life acquainted him with the everyday stories of customers and colleagues, scenes that would eventually enrich his fictional landscapes. After moving to Axis Bank and now working with IndusInd Bank, he has continued to balance a demanding corporate career with a growing creative portfolio, demonstrating that literary ambition can coexist with institutional responsibility. Khan's profile embodies the mobility typical of contemporary India: a child of rural Bihar who negotiated city classrooms and corporate corridors without surrendering the memory of fields, bazaars, and riverside towns. This doubleness chemistry graduate and novelist, banker and storyteller adds a distinctive clarity to his narratives. Best known for *Patna Blues*, he writes with an eye for social nuance and the quiet drama of ordinary lives, mapping the distance between desire and duty with a patient, humane gaze. Across his pages, the routes of migration, competitive examinations, and civil-service dreams intersect with the vulnerabilities of love and class. His steady progress through the banking sector mirrors the journeys of his characters, each seeking dignity and possibility within the constraints of place and time.

## 1.2 Writing career

Abdullah Khan's writing life began with a jolt of recognition at twenty-one, when he stumbled upon George Orwell's *Animal Farm* while helping his brother with an English assignment. The book



opened a door he had not known was there, and he stepped through it almost immediately. Still a B.Sc. student, he started filing pieces as a freelance contributor in 1993 to a local edition of The Hindustan Times, learning to condense big feelings into clean, readable columns. In 1997, the impulse had deepened into a novelistic urge: he drafted the first five chapters of what would become Patna Blues, sketching out characters and conflicts drawn from the soil he knew best. Then life intervened. Banking offered stability and a demanding routine, and the pages went silent for a time. The revival came from home. In interviews, Khan has cheerfully confessed that his wife “blackmailed” him—lovingly—back to the desk, insisting that the talent he’d shelved needed air. Their collaboration became a ritual: he wrote longhand with pen and paper; she patiently typed the pages, turning ink into manuscript. That domestic partnership rekindled the novel and restored his confidence, helping him reconcile ledgers and literature. The result is a career defined by persistence and companionship, where a classic’s spark, newsroom discipline, and spousal faith fused into a steady, unmistakable voice.

## II. NOVELS

Khan’s novel-writing journey is a study in stamina and slow-burn faith. He drafted, scrapped, and reworked his debut more than two hundred times before a first full manuscript took shape in 2009. Publication, however, was still distant. Only in 2016 did he sign with Juggernaut Books, and Patna Blues finally reached readers in September 2018. The novel follows Arif Khan, a young Bihari Muslim whose aspirations and forbidden love for a Hindu woman unfold against the social and competitive pressures of small-town and metropolitan India. Its quiet realism and moral tenderness drew enthusiastic reviews, and the book quickly traveled beyond its original language, appearing within a year in Hindi, Marathi, Punjabi, Bangla, Tamil, Telugu, Kannada, Malayalam, Odia, and Arabic, with the Arabic edition released in Egypt. Further translations Persian, Uzbek, and Sindhi have been announced for Iran, Uzbekistan, and Pakistan, respectively. Khan’s second novel, *A Man from Motihari* (first announced under the working title *Aslam, Orwell and Porn Star*), returned him to the geography of his origins and to a literary echo: its protagonist is born in the same room in Motihari as George Orwell. Published by Penguin Random House India in 2023, the book extends Khan’s interest in the friction between personal longing and social constraint. He is now at work on *Patna Redux*, a sequel to *Patna Blues*, slated for publication in 2025 an apt next chapter for a writer who has made patience and persistence central to his craft.

### 2.1 Patna Blues

*Patna Blues* (Juggernaut, 2018) is a coming-of-age portrait of Arif Khan, a lower-middle-class Muslim youth in 1990s Patna whose world narrows to two fierce longings: cracking the UPSC and an impossible love. Arif’s family has slipped down the social ladder—his father a sub-inspector, the household frugal and anxious—so success in the civil services becomes both a private dream and a collective wager on dignity. Against this pressure blooms a relationship with Sumitra, an older, married Hindu woman he meets through Urdu poetry a tenderness that is beautiful, secretive, and socially perilous. The novel tracks Arif through exam halls, shabby coaching lodges, and cramped family rooms, letting the city’s heat, dust, and everyday cruelties press on him as surely as hope does. Khan writes in a clear, realist register, attentive to the small humiliations of class, to communal fault lines that quietly shape choices, and to the stubborn, unglamorous grind of aspiration. The affair with Sumitra—rendered without sensationalism—becomes the hinge on which questions of desire, duty, and belonging turn, while Patna itself emerges as an intimate landscape rather than a backdrop. The result is a tender, quietly devastating novel about love that doesn’t fit the rules and ambition that doesn’t guarantee escape.

Forbidden love & social boundaries: Arif’s relationship with Sumitra is tender yet perilous because it breaches several social borders at once: religion, age, and marital status. The novel shows how love, which should be private, becomes a public risk in a society where community scrutiny is constant. Their meetings require careful logistics borrowed rooms, withheld information, coded conversation turning



intimacy into strategy. Khan doesn't sensationalize; he lets the quiet costs accumulate: the anxiety of discovery, the guilt of deception, and the ache of impossible futures. Interfaith desire is not framed as rebellion for its own sake but as a deeply human attachment colliding with structures larger than the lovers. Family honour, neighbourhood gossip, and the threat of communal tension function like invisible fences. The theme asks a sober question: when custom and conscience diverge, which one governs our choices and what price do ordinary people pay for choosing love?

**Ambition vs. circumstance:** For Arif, cracking the UPSC is the straightest line from precarity to dignity. Yet the path is cluttered by circumstance: limited finances, shared rooms that do not allow quiet study, the need to work, the pressure to support family. The theme interrogates the comforting myth that aspiration is enough. Khan details the mechanics of striving syllabus breadth, exam cycles, coaching, time management so readers feel the grind as well as the hope. Progress is measured in borrowed books and postponed comforts. Circumstance is not villainized; it's shown as the ordinary gravity acting on a young man's flight. The novel's honesty lies in how it registers near-misses: scoring well but not high enough, understanding concepts yet failing to convert them under timed pressure. Ambition endures, but it must negotiate with fatigue, distraction, and sudden expenses. The theme concludes that success is never just willpower it is also the scaffolding around a person.

**Middle-class precarity:** Khan draws a precise map of lower-middle-class life where every rupee has a job and every expense has consequences. The family's budget is a living character: coaching fees strain it, medical bills surprise it, rent deadlines threaten it. Respectability must be maintained on thin margins clean clothes, proper speech, polite manners—because reputation is a currency too. Precarity breeds caution: dreams are costed before being pursued, and risks are rarely individual; they are borne by the household. This theme captures the quiet heroism of thrift repurposed notebooks, shared tiffins, delayed purchases without romanticizing scarcity. It also shows how precarity narrows time horizons; long-term planning is a luxury when the present keeps leaking. Yet there's pride here: in small savings achieved, in a father's steady work, in siblings' cooperation. The tension is constant: how to invest in a future (education, exams) when the present keeps presenting nonnegotiable bills.

**Duty to family vs. desire:** Arif's sense of duty is not abstract; it has daily form contributing money, keeping peace, honouring his father's hopes. Desire, meanwhile, pulls him toward Sumitra and toward a self that may not fit the family's script. The theme unfolds as an ethical tug-of-war without sermonizing. Each choice studying late, visiting Sumitra, taking on tutoring to earn tilts the balance between obligation and longing. Khan treats both poles with respect. Family duty is not a cage; it is love expressed as responsibility. Desire is not selfishness; it is the pursuit of authenticity and tenderness. The pain arises because both are true and both are good, yet they collide under real constraints of time, money, and social risk.

**Identity in a communally tense milieu:** Set in 1990s Bihar, the book situates Arif's Muslim identity within everyday spaces classrooms, coaching centres, rental markets where belonging is contingent. The theme is not about spectacular violence; it is about low-grade unease: the second glance when a name is spoken, the hesitation of a landlord, the caution in public affection. Khan's restraint is important; he neither reduces Arif to a symbol nor denies the context shaping his options. Identity filters opportunity: which neighbourhoods feel safe, which friendships require careful boundaries, which ambitions invite extra scrutiny. Yet the novel resists fatalism. Arif studies, jokes with friends, and seeks love like anyone else.

**The myth of pure meritocracy:** Competitive exams promise a clean contest syllabus in, effort out, rank as reward. Khan complicates that equation. Preparation costs money (fees, materials), space (a quiet desk), and time (not doing paid work). Networks matter: seniors who share notes, teachers who hint at patterns, peers who demystify interviews. Even nutrition and sleep play roles. The theme isn't cynical; it is diagnostic. Arif is diligent, intelligent, and disciplined, yet the playing field tilts in small, compounding ways. Merit exists, but it is scaffolded by advantages many can't access. When results arrive, the narrative registers both pride and pain achievement measured against a system that markets fairness while distributing opportunity unevenly. The takeaway is not that effort is futile; rather, that



policy and support systems determine how far effort travels. Khan thereby invites readers to rethink praise and blame in discussions about success and failure.

Patna as a living character: Patna is more than a backdrop; it is a pressure system shaping mood and motion. The city's lanes compress privacy; tea stalls extend gossip; coaching centres convert ambition into a shared ritual of notes, tests, and rumours. Heat slows afternoons; monsoons rewire routines. Rented rooms encode class in their peeling paint and shared bathrooms. Khan's descriptive economy lets place do narrative work: a bus route stands in for social distance; a market's noise mirrors Arif's mental clutter; a quiet ghat offers a rare pocket of reflection. The city also embodies constraint—few neutral spaces for interfaith lovers, few sanctuaries for uninterrupted study. Yet it sustains community: neighbours who notice, shopkeepers who trust on credit, friends who share photocopies. Patna both nurtures and limits, giving the novel its specific gravity.

Language & literature as refuge: Urdu poetry is not ornament here; it's oxygen. Through verse, Arif and Sumitra find a grammar for feelings their world will not permit in plain speech. Couplets become truce zones where desire is coded, fear softened, and dignity restored. The theme shows literature's practical magic: it slows time, deepens attention, and grants vocabulary to inchoate longings. For Arif, reading and writing also structure discipline—copying lines, revisiting motifs, building interpretive patience that echoes his exam preparation. The refuge is double: emotional (a safe channel for intimacy) and intellectual (a portable space of excellence when institutional recognition is scarce). Khan suggests that art does not solve material problems, but it can fortify the self facing them. In a story crowded by constraints money, identity, custom poetry opens an inner room where the protagonist can breathe, organize feeling, and return to life with steadier hands.

Quiet moral ambiguity: Khan's moral universe prizes empathy over verdicts. Arif's affair is tender and transgressive; readers are asked to dwell in that complexity rather than resolve it neatly. Characters make choices under pressure, with partial information and limited options. The narrative posture is observant, not accusatory: it attends to motives, contexts, and consequences, letting the audience do the ethical arithmetic. This ambiguity feels honest because life seldom provides clean dilemmas; it offers messy trade-offs. Even secondary figures parents, landlords, teachers are drawn with enough nuance to complicate blame. The theme counters melodrama by showing how harm and care can coexist in a single act, how love can wound and responsibility can stifle. Through refusing a didactic stance, the novel respects readers' intelligence and preserves characters' dignity. Moral maturity, in this world, is the capacity to hold conflicting truths without collapsing them into slogans.

Coming-of-age realism: Arif's maturation is incremental, marked less by victories than by adjustments: learning to manage disappointment, to budget time, to apologize without humiliation, to keep faith after a poor result. The theme rejects cinematic transformation in favour of cumulative discipline. Setbacks do not clarify everything; they nudge perspective. He becomes more tactful with family, more strategic with study, more prudent about risk. Even love evolves from urgency to a measured tenderness shaped by reality. The realism lies in tempo: months of repetition, brief spikes of hope, long tail of waiting. Adult life arrives as competence showing up, preparing again, protecting what matters not as a single decisive act. Khan captures the humility of growth: it is not becoming extraordinary, it is becoming reliable, and that reliability is hard-won. The reader recognizes a familiar truth: most of us come of age by practicing ordinary courage on ordinary days.

Gendered expectations: The novel registers how gender scripts narrow choices. Men are tasked with visible success secure a post, lift the family, embody respectability so failure feels public and shaming. Women navigate stricter surveillance reputation policed by neighbours, mobility negotiated through family permission, desire contained by propriety. Sumitra's risks differ from Arif's: exposure would cost her more, yet her agency is real, expressed through reading, conversation, and carefully chosen acts. Khan shows how these scripts entangle: a man's pressure to "become somebody" can make him emotionally unavailable; a woman's need for caution can make intimacy fragile. The theme avoids caricature, acknowledging kindness and constraint in both spheres. It also hints at small resistances shared poems, honest talk, quiet persistence that push back against roles without announcing revolt.



Gender here is not ideology but lived choreography, shaping who speaks, who waits, and who bears the heaviest consequences.

Hope against odds: Hope in Patna Blues is not a banner; it is a habit. It looks like setting an alarm again after a failed attempt, like saving for next month's fee, like writing to the person you love even when meetings are rare. The odds economic fragility, communal tension, institutional gatekeeping do not vanish, but characters refuse to let them define the horizon. Khan's prose respects the small scale of resilience: a mother's encouragement, a friend's shared notes, a moment of laughter that resets resolve. The theme avoids false uplift; sometimes hope means accepting limits without bitterness, preserving decency when dreams stall, or finding meaning in loyalty rather than achievement. Yet it is unmistakably present, animating the final pages. In a world where outcomes are uncertain, the novel argues for the moral worth of perseverance itself—the quiet insistence that a life can remain generous and purposeful under pressure.

## 2.2 A Man from Motihari

A Man from Motihari (Penguin Random House India, 2023) follows Aslam Sher Khan a bank clerk from Motihari who learns he was born in the very bungalow where George Orwell first opened his eyes and grows up believing that literature might be his calling. The book tracks his restlessness from small-town Bihar to larger Indian cities and, eventually, to the United States, where he meets Jessica, an activist and former adult-film actor from Los Angeles. What begins as an unlikely romance becomes a test of conviction as love, ambition, and public life collide against the backdrop of a country tilting toward hard-edged politics. Khan blends a realist portrait of middle-class aspiration with glints of the uncanny the novel famously opens with Aslam's birth being midwifed by a spectral figure so that personal longing and political weather feel inseparable. The result is a story about the costs of becoming an artist when money is short, time is borrowed, and identity shapes every room you enter; about the seductions and limits of destiny and about a relationship that persists, imperfectly, across continents and judgments. It is a tender, quietly provocative novel that braids love story, Künstlerroman, and social chronicle into one.

Destiny vs. agency: Aslam's birth in the same bungalow where George Orwell was born tempts everyone family, friends, even Aslam himself—to read destiny into coincidence. The novel steadily dismantles that romance. Being "chosen" does not open doors: editors still ignore emails, workshops still cost money, and talent still needs hours that a salaried life won't spare. Fate appears as a story people tell to make struggle feel meaningful; agency arrives quietly through routines—waking early, rewriting, sending work out despite silence. Small-town superstitions and literary pilgrimages provide the thrill of connection, yet the narrative shows art emerging from labour, not lineage. Mentors encourage, rejections harden resolve, and setbacks clarify what Aslam can control: his craft, his discipline, his courage to keep going. At the end, the Orwell coincidence becomes a mirror rather than a motor useful for reflection, useless for shortcuts. The book argues that destiny may set a stage, but only agency writes the script.

Art vs. livelihood: Aslam's bank job is both lifeline and leash. It pays rent, funds submissions, and keeps family expectations soothed; it also siphons the very attention art requires. The novel details this attrition: commutes that dull imagination, ledgers that exhaust the eyes, the mental residue of customer complaints that follows him home. Writing happens in borrowed time early mornings, lunch breaks, insomniac nights. The conflict is not melodrama but logistics: where to find quiet, how to guard energy, when to say no to overtime without risking income. Guilt circulates in both directions guilt for neglecting pages, guilt for dreaming when money is tight. Yet the book resists the cliché that "real" artists must reject salaried work. Instead, it honours craft that survives inside ordinary schedules. The victory is not quitting the job but building durable rituals word counts, drafts, reading lists that allow art to breathe within constraint. Livelihood remains non-negotiable; the achievement lies in refusing to let it consume everything.

Love across borders and judgments: Aslam's relationship with Jessica crosses continents, cultures,



and reputational landmines. She brings a past that the public loves to sensationalize; he carries the anxieties of class mobility and immigrant precarity. The novel treats their bond as everyday labour rather than spectacle: time zones to manage, visas to plan, misunderstandings to unlearn. Love grows through curiosity tasting each other's foods, translating jokes, explaining silences shaped by different shames. Judgment, meanwhile, arrives from all angles: online trolls, moral gatekeepers, acquaintances who confuse gossip with truth. The pair learn a practical ethics of intimacy tell the whole story before others weaponize fragments; set boundaries around what must remain private; defend each other in rooms where one partner is absent. Love becomes a test of stamina and trust, not purity. It asks whether two people can hold space for complex histories without dissolving under public glare. The novel's answer is cautious but hopeful: tenderness can be crafted, maintained, and defended, even when the world prefers a scandal.

Migration, mobility, and class: Movement promises reinvention Bihar to Delhi, Delhi to the United States but mobility in the novel is never pure ascent. Each step upward exposes new ceilings: accents coded as competence, addresses read as credit scores, degrees used as gatekeeping devices. Aslam enters rooms where social capital matters as much as talent; the right introductions seem to shorten waiting time, while newcomers learn the tax of invisibility. The book captures migrant loneliness with sensory detail silent kitchens, secondhand furniture, a phone glowing late because time zones keep loved ones awake elsewhere. Yet migration also enlarges the map of self: new mentors, libraries, readings, neighbourhoods that shift habits and tastes. Class follows like a watermark—visible in how confidently one navigates bureaucracy, orders coffee, or speaks up in workshops. Mobility, then, is double-edged: it expands horizons but multiplies negotiations. The novel honours the courage it takes to keep moving while carrying the weight of origins with dignity.

Public morality and hypocrisy: Communities in the book display a vigilant interest in private lives, especially women's. Jessica's history becomes public property; strangers feel licensed to judge, advise, or punish. Institutions that preach "values" often excuse cruelty when it protects their image. The novel stages these contradictions without didactic speeches: a polite literary event that tolerates whispered slurs; a family gathering where concern masks control; online campaigns that claim virtue while seeking spectacle. Men's ambition is cast as respectable drive; women's autonomy is scrutinized as risk. Yet hypocrisy is not confined to one class or country it is a portable script that adapts to new contexts. The narrative invites readers to track who benefits from moral panics and who pays. Through exposing the gap between sermon and practice, the book asks for a more honest ethic: judge less, care more, and recognize that dignity means letting adults carry their past without perpetual trial.

Freedom of expression and the uses of literature: Writing, for Aslam, is refuge, instrument, and reckoning. On the page he can slow time, arrange chaos, and speak without interruption. But the novel refuses a naïve freedom-of-expression myth; it shows real constraints self-censorship to protect loved ones, editorial markets that prefer certain "sellable" narratives, and political climates where speech has costs. Literature becomes a toolkit rather than a pedestal: it helps him endure boredom, metabolize grief, and argue with inherited ideas. Reading Orwell is less homage than apprenticeship in clarity seeing how language can sharpen thought and how rhetoric can narcotize it. Workshops and rejections teach him audience awareness without flattening voice. The ethical question persists: whose story is he allowed to tell, and at what responsibility to those represented? The novel answers by modelling care fact-checking memory, seeking consent, owning blind spots. Expression is free only when paired with craft, courage, and accountability; otherwise, it is noise.

Postcolonial memory and historical ghosts: Orwell's shadow functions as a living metaphor for empire's long afterlife. The bungalow, the English language, even the aspiration to be "an author" are freighted with histories not entirely Aslam's. The novel does not demand rejection of that inheritance, nor uncritical worship of it. Instead, it stages a negotiation: how to take what is useful clarity, irony, skepticism without internalizing the colonial gaze that ranked lives and languages. Museums, plaques, and literary tourism appear as double-edged rituals, preserving memory while sometimes embalming it. Aslam learns that history is not a chain but a conversation partner; it can inform without dictating.



Through locating a South Asian artist's coming-of-age in a literal colonial birthplace, the book asks whether place confers essence or merely context. The answer is subtle: the ghost remains, but it can be taught new lines. Postcolonial identity becomes the art of remix owning influence while writing forward in one's accent.

**Identity as negotiation:** The protagonist lives at the intersection of religious, regional, national, professional, and diasporic identities. None is singular or stable. In one room he is a dutiful son; in another, a junior banker; in yet another, an ambitious writer trying to sound confident. Abroad, he learns to code-switch adjusting speech, gestures, even laughter to avoid being misread. The novel treats this not as duplicity but skill: survival in complex systems demands fluency in multiple registers. Yet the cost is fatigue, and the risk is drift who are you when you're always translating? Aslam's answer is to build a through-line: a private ethic anchored in craft, kindness, and truthful sentences. Faith and hometown remain coordinates, not cages.

**Masculinity, vulnerability, and care:** The novel loosens the stereotype of the invulnerable male striver. Aslam worries about money, envies peers, fears failure, and longs for reassurance all presented without mockery. Masculinity here is a script he is learning to edit: moving from silence to conversation, from bravado to honesty, from control to collaboration. Care arrives from unexpected sources friends who read drafts, elders who offer gentle course corrections, a partner who defends him in hostile rooms. The pressure to provide remains real, but the narrative argues that emotional literacy is not a threat to responsibility; it fortifies it. Moments of breakdown are not moral defeats; they are checkpoints where pride gives way to growth. Through letting a male protagonist need help and accept it, the book expands the grammar of manhood. Strength is redefined as steadiness, empathy, and the courage to keep showing up at the desk, in relationships, for oneself and others.

**The internet, spectacle, and reputation:** The digital sphere in the novel is a theater where facts and performances blur. A clipped video, an old photo, a rumor phrased as a question these become viral shrapnel that punctures private lives. Algorithms reward outrage; nuance underperforms. Aslam and Jessica learn defensive tactics: preemptive transparency, careful archiving, choosing when to disengage rather than feed a storm. The text also shows how online attention can seduce artists metrics masquerade as meaning, likes as literary value. Workshops and mentors caution him to measure progress by pages, not by notifications. Reputation proves sticky; even retractions travel slower than first accusations. Yet the internet is not only a menace: it provides access to journals, communities, and mentors otherwise out of reach. The theme balances both truths. The lesson is strategic humility use the network for connection and craft, refuse its demand to live as a perpetual spectacle, and protect the slow work that gives reputation substance.

**Family expectation vs. individual path:** Kinship gives Aslam roots and responsibilities. Parents dream cautiously, wanting him secure; he dreams ambitiously, wanting meaning. The friction is tender: no villains, only different risk tolerances shaped by class history and generational memory. Phone calls carry both warmth and pressure questions about promotions, marriage, remittances, and "what people will say." The novel respects the family's logic: they invested in his education and seek visible returns. It also honours the artist's logic: some returns are intangible at first—skills, drafts, a thicker skin. Compromise becomes craft: he sends money when needed, visits, when possible, communicates progress in terms they value. Over time, small recognitions an acceptance, a reading, a check in print translate into pride the family can share. The theme rejects the false choice between abandonment and obedience, proposing a third path: loyalty without self-erasure, autonomy without contempt.

**Perseverance without guarantees:** If there is a moral center, it is the discipline of returning returning to the draft after rejection, to conversations after conflict, to hope after embarrassment. The book refuses triumphalism: no sudden "big break," no montage of success. Progress is granular clearer paragraphs, kinder self-talk, better time management, a more resilient routine. The narrative honours unglamorous endurance: saving a little each month for fees, reading on buses, protecting mornings from distraction. Setbacks are data, not destiny. Friends move faster, luck favours others, and envy flickers; still, the protagonist steadies himself by measuring against yesterday's self. Perseverance is framed as



ethical stance as much as tactic the decision to live by chosen values when applause is absent. Through closing on steadiness rather than spectacle, the novel offers a credible hope: that a life stitched from patient, repeated effort can be good, meaningful, and quietly victorious, even when guarantees never arrive.

### III. CONCLUSION

Abdullah Khan's writing marks a significant intervention in the evolving landscape of Indian literature, not only for its realism but also for its ethical commitment to empathy and dignity. His novels foreground the everyday struggles of individuals negotiating precarious livelihoods, social hierarchies, communal unease, and unfulfilled desires. What sets his work apart is the refusal to romanticize poverty or dramatize conflict; instead, he employs a restrained realism that lets the moral ambiguities of life unfold with honesty. *Patna Blues* reveals the intimate collision between ambition, duty, and forbidden love, while *A Man from Motihari* positions personal longing within the broader currents of migration, postcolonial memory, and global interconnectedness. Taken together, these novels reveal Khan's preoccupation with persistence—the quiet courage to endure despite failures, social constraints, or hostile environments. His background as both banker and novelist underscores the possibility of sustaining creative ambition alongside professional demands, making him a representative figure of India's contemporary middle class. Furthermore, his choice of Patna and Motihari as narrative landscapes foregrounds the regional within the national, affirming that stories rooted in specificity can achieve universal resonance. As he continues with *Patna Redux*, Abdullah Khan's oeuvre underscores literature's power to give voice to lives often overlooked in grand narratives of progress. His fiction demonstrates that even in constrained circumstances, literature can serve as a form of resilience, reflection, and ethical witness. Ultimately, Abdullah Khan contributes not only to Indian English writing but to a broader humanistic tradition that honours ordinary lives with extraordinary attentiveness.

### Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

### References

1. Khan, Abdullah. *Patna Blues*. Juggernaut Books, 2018.
2. Khan, Abdullah. *A Man from Motihari*. Penguin Random House India, 2023.
3. Publisher and Media References
4. "Patna Blues." Juggernaut Books, 2018, [juggernautin.com](http://juggernautin.com).
5. "A Man from Motihari." Penguin Random House India, 2023, [penguin.co.in](http://penguin.co.in).
6. "Abdullah Khan on Writing, Banking, and Patna Blues." Hindustan Times, 2018, [hindustantimes.com](http://hindustantimes.com).
7. "Review of A Man from Motihari." Scroll.in, 2023, [scroll.in](http://scroll.in).
8. "Abdullah Khan Interview." The National, 2023, [thenationalnews.com](http://thenationalnews.com).
9. Secondary Source (Author's Analytical Study)
10. Kumar, Amit. "Abdullah Khan (Author): A Study of the Banker-Novelist's Realism and Humanism in *Patna Blues* and *A Man from Motihari*." *Journal of Contemporary Indian English Literature*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2025, pp. 1–25.

### Cite this Article-

'Dr. Priya Kumari', 'Abdullah Khan: The Banker-Novelist of Bihar and His Realist Portrayal of Ordinary Lives', Shodhpith International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, ISSN: 3049-3331 (Online), Volume:1, Issue:05, September-October 2025.

Journal URL- <https://www.shodhpith.com/index.html>

Published Date- 2 September 2025

DOI-10.64127/Shodhpith.2025v1i5004

